





AN ACP GROUP COMPANY





Scaling Analysis Services in the Cloud



gerhard@gbrueckl.at blog.gbrueckl.at



#280 VIENNA 2014

About me

Gerhard Brückl

Working with Microsoft BI since 2006 Windows Azure / Cloud since 2013

focused on Analytics and Reporting

Blog:blog.gbrueckl.ateMail:gerhard@gbrueckl.at



pm**On**e

SSAS MAESTRO by Microsoft



Agenda

- Why do we need to scale?
- How can we scale
 - Scale Up
 - Scale Out
- Windows Azure



Why do we need to scale?

- Growing amount of data
- Growing amount of users
- Actuality of data
- Complexity of data loads
- Latency (remote locations)



Things we want to achieve

- Better Query Performance
 - Single User
 - Concurrent User
- Faster availability of data
- High Availability
- Easy maintenance
- Flexible resource usage / peak times
- Better Processing Performance



How can we scale?

Scale Up

Increase resources of current machine

Scale Out

- Add further machines
- Create "Farm"



Increase

- CPU (clock rate vs. #cores)
- Memory
- 10
- (Network)





Pros

- Solves most issues
- Straight forward / simple
- No change in architecture

Cons

- Can only Scale Up to a certain point
- Expensive in terms of high-end hardware



CPU

- Parallel Processing / Querying
- Concurrent users
- (faster calculations)

Memory

Caching – relieve IO / CPU

I/O

Faster initial Querying / Processing



	Increase CPU	Increase Memory	Increase IO
Cube size (in general)	+	+	+
Query Performance (single user)	+	+	+
Query Performance (multiple users)	+	+	~
Processing Performance	+	~	+
Actuality of data	+	~	+
High Availability	~	~	~



Scale Out

- Increase number of machines
- Distribute work load
- Handle peaks





Scale Out

Pros

- "unlimited" scalability
- Flexibility
 - easy to extend / shrink farm
- Can be leveraged during data loads

Cons

- Change in Architecture
- Harder to maintain
- "Only" solves concurrency issues
- No improvements for single queries



Scale Out

	Increase Number of Machines
Cube size (in general)	~
Query Performance (single user)	~
Query Performance (multiple users)	+
Processing Performance	+
Actuality of data	+
High Availability	+



Windows Azure and Analysis Services



- Infrastructure as a Service (laaS)
 - e.g. hosted virtual machine



Windows Azure IaaS

- Hosted Infrastructure
- Virtual Machines
- Virtual Storage
- Virtual Network



Windows Azure Basic Considerations and setup

Choose a Region

Create an Affinity Group

- Per Region
- Per Subscription

Create Network

Per Affinity Group





Windows Azure Virtual Network

Per Region / Affinity Group

Place VMs in same network

Integrate on-site services

- Point-to-Site Connectivity
- Site-to-Site Connectivity





Windows Azure Storage Account

STORAGE

Per Region / Affinity Group

Blobs / Tables / Queues • VHDs

<u>Locally Redundant</u> Geo Redundant Geo Redundant (Read-Only)



Windows Azure Virtual Machine



Per Region / Affinity Group / <u>Virtual Network</u>

Created from Gallery

- Public Templates
- Private Templates

Different Sizes → Scale Up

Availability Sets \rightarrow Scale Out



Windows Azure Scale Up



Size	# of Cores	Memory	# of Disks	Price / Month *
Extra small	1 (shared)	768	1	315 €
Small	1	1,750	2	354 €
Medium	2	3,500	4	404 €
Large	4	7,000	8	504 €
Extra Large	8	14,000	16	1,008 €
A5	2	14,000	4	526€
A6	4	28,000	8	747 €
A7	8	56,000	16	1,495 €
(A8)	8	56,000	? / SSD	?
(A9)	16	112,000	? / SSD	?

*) SQL Server **SE** + Windows



Windows Azure Scale Up

Simply change size

Restart required

Limited Capacities

- CPU
- Memory
- IO

Use dedicated machines!







Things to consider:

- Adjust I/O sub-system
 - Add VHDs / rebuild Storage Pool
- Adjust memory limits
 - Absolute settings
- Adjust CPUs
 - Thread settings
 - Group Affinity



For frequent Scale Ups / Scale Downs

- Use default settings
- Use only relative settings
 - Memory settings in %
 - Thread settings 0 or <0</p>

On-Premise vs. Cloud

CPU	On-Premise	Cloud "A7"
NUMA Nodes	2	2
Logical Cores	36	8
		
Memory	nise	Cloud "A7"
Physical Memory	, 12 GB	56 GB
SSAS / TotalMemory	100 GB	47 GB (85%)
10	On-Premise	Cloud "A7"
Disks (SSAS only)	2	2
RAID-Set	RAID-0	RAID-0

Processing Performance:

Test SSAS-DB:26 GBTest SQL-DB:106 GB

	On-Premise	Cloud "A7"
Parallel Processing	00:13:15	01:25:41
Serial Processing	01:36:05	05:17:28

<u>Query Performance:</u>

7 Queries, +1 User/min, 60 mins

Response time in Seconds	On-Premise	Cloud "A7"
Single Query1	3.25	15.30
Single Query2	51.60	86.30

Finished Tests	On-Premise	Cloud "A7"
After 20 minutes/users	347	166
After 40 minutes/users	871	346
After 60 minutes/users	1,356	480 (*)

Scales with Number of CPUs

Memory for concurrency

IO for bigger databases

Windows Azure Scale Out

Easily create/add new VMs

- Images
- Script

Built-In Load Balancing

- Availability Sets
- Traffic Manager

Unused VMs create no costs

Windows Azure Availability Sets

Per Cloud Service

Several VMs share same Public Port

Used for

- High Availability
 - Fault Domains
 - Update Domains
- Load Balancing

Windows Azure Traffic Manager

Per Subscription

Several Cloud Services share URL

Used For

- Availability
- Failover
- Performance

Windows Azure Availability Sets and Traffic Manager

	Traffic Manager	Availability Set
Regions	Any	one
Round Robin	Yes	Yes
Performance	Yes	No
Failover	Yes	No
Routing-Level	DNS	TCP / UDP

Windows Azure Availability Sets

Auto-Scale Feature

- Scale by Metric
- Scale by Schedule

Only for Availability Sets

Also Third party tools

Windows Azure Analysis Services Settings

Memory	Default Value	Suggested Value
LowMemoryLimit	65	85
TotalMemoryLimit	80	90
PreAllocate	0	85

OLAP/Process	Default Value	Suggested Value
AggregationMemoryLimitMin	10	5
AggregationMemoryLimitMax	80	10

Affinity Groups

Storage Account

- Locally Redundant
- Geo Redundant
- Geo Redundant (Read-Only)

Limits Storage Account (Locally Redundant)

- 10 Gb/s in / 15 Gb/s out
- 20,000 Transaction/s

Blobs and VHDs

- 500 IO/s
- 60 MB/s

Windows Server 2012 Storage Pools

- Abstraction Layer
- (Software) RAID

GUI very buggy

→ User PowerShell instead!

RAID / Storage Pools vs. Single Drives

<u>Theory – 16 Disks:</u> 60 MB/s * 16 → 960 MB/s 500 IO/s * 16 → 8,000 IO/S

<u>Reality – "A7" with 16 Disks:</u> ~110 MB/s ~6,500 IO/s

32k Random Reads

16k Random Reads

64k Random Reads

64k Random Reads

IO scales linear for small blocks No improvements for bigger blocks

- The special Temporary Storage (D:\)
- superfast but volatile storage

saturdav

<u>MSDN:</u> "...performance is not guaranteed to be predictable"

Deleted on resets, reboots, fail overs etc.

Scale-Outs with separate Query and Processing Servers

Windows Azure Processing Analysis Services

Conclusion & Findings Scale Up

Easy to do

Only limited scalability

Watch out for:

- Disk / IO Setup
- absolute SSAS Settings

Conclusion & Findings Scale Out

- Almost everything can be automatized
- Create VMs
- Setup SSAS

Very flexible

- Shutdown unused VMs
- Auto-Scale Service

Conclusion & Findings Scale Out

- Use Availability Sets if possible
- Fault Domains
- Update Domains
- Use Traffic Manager for
- Global Scale-Out
- Fail-Over

Conclusion & Findings Scale Out

"Sticky Session" not supported! Don't use "DirectReturn"

<u>Possible</u> issues with Session Objects Calculated members/sets

- Excel Pivot Tables
- XL Cubed

Writeback

Conclusion & Findings

Use Storage Pools

Max # of Disks

Upcoming Changes

- A8 / A9 VMs
- Internal Changes

AN ACP GROUP COMPANY

THANK YOU!

